

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/004,001 | 11/01/2001 | Wen Zhao | 555255012288 | 7436 |
| 75 | 90 11/03/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| Joseph M. Sauer, Esq. | | | PHAM, TUAN | |
| Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2618 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Community | 10/004,001 | ZHAO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | TUAN A. PHAM | 2618 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was prailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/6/ | 2006. | | | | | |
| · _ · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | • | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-55</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-53</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>54-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | orania iraquira iria | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | (PTO-413) ate | | | | |

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/06/2006 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 42-53 are draw to keypad of telephone, classified in class 379, subclass 433.07.
 - II. Claims 54-55 are draw to voice recognition unit, classified in class 379, subclass 88.01.

Art Unit: 2618

into the DTMF signal.

4. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention I is concerned with the keypad of the telephone device. The subcombination has separate utility such as the invention II in which it is concerned with a method for converting the voice signal

Page 3

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. During a telephone conversation with Joseph M. Sauer on 10/30/2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 42-53. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 54-55 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. <u>Claims 42-44, and 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Public Application (Nokia user's manual 900i 06/07/1998, hereinafter, "PA") in view of Nishimoto (Patent No.: US 6,046,732).</u>

Regarding claim 42, PA teaches a communication device comprising (see figure 2-11, page 2-10):

a keyboard having at least twenty six keys that are each labeled with a different letter of the alphabet and number and configured to generate an output signal (see figure 2-11, page 2-10);

a processor for converting the output signal into a character code (see page 3-2,

Art Unit: 2618

it is obvious that the PDA should be including a processor for converting a signal to character code when the user using the text);

means for converting the output signal into a telephony tone signal (see page 3-2, 4-5, it is obvious that the PDA should be including a processor for converting a signal to telephone tone when the user dial the number, DTMF);

software applications stored by the communication device and executed by the processor (see page 2-8), and

a keyboard mode control software module that automatically controls whether the keyboard output signals from the keys are converted into character codes or telephony tone signals based on which of the plurality of software applications is active (see figure 2-11, the keyboard as shown in figure 2-11 is store plurality of applications to support multiple mode, each mode is associated with different software application. When the user select the telephone mode from the keyboard, the controller automatically run on the telephone software application, and when the user select the calculator mode, the controller automatically run on calculator software application, page 2-8, 2-10, 2-11).

It should be noticed that PA fails to teach each key are associated with number and letter. However, Nishimoto teaches such features (see figure 11, keypad 108, each key is associated with letter and number, it is obvious that the manufacture can be arrange the 26 letters key associated with each number from 0-9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Nishimoto into view of PA in order to save cost and make a device to support a plurality functions.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 43, Nishimoto further teaches the numbers 2-9 are assigned respectively to letters A-C, D-F, G-1, J-L, M-O, P-S, T-V and W-Z (see figure 9).

Regarding claim 44, PA further teaches QWERTY keyboard (see figure 2-11).

Regarding claim 46, PA further teaches a mode key with which a user can switch conversion of the output signals from telephony signals to character codes (see figure 2-11, application button 1, page 2-10, the user can press the application button 1 to select the mode).

Regarding claim 47, PA teaches a communication device comprising (see figure 2-11, page 2-10):

a keyboard having at least twenty six keys that are each labeled with a different letter of the alphabet and number (see figure 2-11, page 2-10).

It should be noticed that PA fails to teach each key are associated with number and letter, and means for generating, for each key pressed by a user, a telephony tone signal corresponding to the number assigned to the pressed key. However, Nishimoto teaches such features (see figure 11, keypad 108, each key is associated with letter and number, it is obvious that the manufacture can be arrange the 26 letters key associated with each number. When the user want to make a call, they just press the number then the number will convert to DTMF signal to generate the tone).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Nishimoto into view of PA in order to save cost and make a device to support a plurality functions.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 48, Nishimoto further teaches the numbers 2-9 are assigned respectively to letters A-C, D-F, G-1, J-L, M-O, P-S, T-V and W-Z (see figure 9).

Regarding claim 49, PA further teaches QWERTY keyboard (see figure 2-11).

Regarding claim 50, Nishimoto further teaches each key is labeled with its assigned number (see figure 11).

10. Claims 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimoto (Patent No.: US 6,046,732) in view of Engelke et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,581,593, hereinafter, "Engelke").

Regarding claim 51, Nishimoto teaches a communication device including (see figure 11, mobile phone):

each of the letters being assigned a number in the range 0-9 (see figure 11, keypad 108, each key is associated with letter and number, it is obvious that the manufacture can be arrange the 26 letters key associated with each number), and

the device being operative, for each letter pressed by a user, to communicate the number assigned to the pressed letter (see figure 11, col.2, In.26-44, it is obvious that when the user press the key including letter and number that key will associate with letter or number).

It should be noticed that Nishimoto fails to teach a keyboard with letters arranged in a QWERTY configuration. However, Engelke teaches such features (see figure 1, key board 22, col.4, ln.6).

Art Unit: 2618

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Engelke into view of Nishimoto in order to save cost and make a device to support a plurality functions.

Regarding claim 52, Nishimoto further teaches the numbers 2-9 are assigned respectively to letters A-C, D-F, G-1, J-L, M-O, P-S, T-V and W-Z (see figure 9).

Regarding claim 53, Nishimoto further teaches the communicating of the numbers is through telephony tone signals (see figure 9, when dialing a phone call).

11. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Public Application (Nokia user's manual 900i 06/07/1998, hereinafter, "PA") in

view of Nishimoto (Patent No.: US 6,046,732) as applied to claim 42 above, and

further in view of Hidaka (US patent No.: 6,081,548).

Regarding claim 45, PA and Nishimoto, in combination, fails to teach display the character codes. However, Hidaka teaches such features (see col.2, In.45-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hidaka into view of PA and Nishimoto in order to convert the letter to the ascii for use in the telephone system.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2618 October 30, 2006

-Examiner

Tuan Pham

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2600

Page 9

Teermology Certical 2000

Matthew Anderson